# Solving the Puppy Mill Problem: Using "Carrots and Sticks" to Encourage Compliance with the Animal Welfare Act

### Ashlyn Browning\*

#### **ABSTRACT**

The puppy mill industry supplies puppies for the public to purchase. Puppy mills maximize profits by frequently breeding dogs kept in poor living conditions. These dogs lack proper nutrition, shelter, and care. Puppy mill owners then sell puppies bred in these facilities to the public directly or through pet stores. Puppy mills are problematic because these operations sacrifice the health of dogs for profit. Current puppy mill regulations focus on threatening perpetrators with the "stick," but this Comment argues that a "carrot" approach may be better.

Currently, the Animal Welfare Act ("AWA"), a federal statute, regulates puppy mills. The AWA aims to ensure the humane treatment of pets and animals used in research and commerce. AWA provisions apply to puppy mill owners and set licensing and animal care standards. The AWA also contains enforcement mechanisms through provisions regarding facility inspections, license suspension or revocation, civil penalties, and criminal punishments. Thus, the AWA takes a punitive approach to puppy mills. However, the AWA ineffectively solves the puppy mill problem because of both statutory loopholes and inadequate enforcement. Inspectors fail to report or properly record AWA violations, and puppy mill owners who violate the AWA often evade punishments.

This Comment argues that the current AWA framework fails to protect puppy mill dogs. Specifically, federal, state, and local governments should incentivize puppy mill owners to improve their facilities' conditions. Governments should also provide incentives to opponents of puppy mill improvement, such as the American Kennel Club. Finally,

<sup>\*</sup> J.D. Candidate, The Pennsylvania State University School of Law, 2025. I would like to thank the team at Penn State Law Review for their help and hard work with this paper. I am also grateful for the support of my fiancé, family, and friends throughout my law school journey. I dedicate this paper to my shelter dog Rosie, a former puppy mill dog herself.

governments should incentivize animal shelters and the public to adopt dogs to reduce the demand for puppy mill puppies.

#### **Table of Contents**

I.	Introl	DUCTION	848
II.	BACKGROUND		850
	A.	Puppy Mills	850
		1. What is a Puppy Mill?	
		2. The Emergence of Puppy Mills and the Current State of	
		the Industry	854
		3. Public Support of Puppy Mills	856
	B.	The Animal Welfare Act	
		1. History and Purpose of the AWA	858
		2. AWA Provisions	859
	C.	Current AWA Ineffectiveness and Inadequacy	862
		1. Loopholes in the AWA	
		2. USDA's Lack of Enforcement of AWA Provisions	863
		3. State Approaches to Animal Protection Laws	864
	D.	Current and Proposed Solutions to AWA Ineffectiveness	
		1. Retail Pet Sale Bans	
		2. Laws Seeking to Enact Stronger Enforcement	867
	E.	The Carrot-and-Stick Approach: Incentives or Punishments?	867
		Overview of Carrots and Sticks	868
		2. Implementing the "Carrot" in Renewable Energy and	
		Drug Decriminalization	869
III.	ANALY	/SIS	
	A.	Renewable Energy and Drug Decriminalization as a Model for	
		Fixing the Puppy Mill Problem	870
	B.	Using Incentives as a "Carrot" to Improve and Combat Puppy	
		Mills	871
		1. Incentivizing Puppy Mill Owners: Money and Education	872
		2. Beating Out the Opposition: Incentivizing Opponents of	
		Puppy Mill Laws	874
		3. Incentivizing Adoption: Partnering Animal Shelters and	
		Businesses	876
		4. Incentivizing the Public: Educating and Encouraging	
		Alternatives to Puppy Mill Dog Purchases	877
IV.	CONCL	USION	

#### I. INTRODUCTION

One day, you decide to purchase a puppy. You visit your nearest pet store and pick out the sweetest, cutest critter. Alternatively, you may search for puppies online or see an advertisement. You then meet a stranger, pay that person some money, and take home your new furry friend. But where did your new puppy *really* come from?

Your new companion likely came from a "puppy mill." Puppies purchased from pet stores, the Internet, and advertisements commonly come from puppy mills. Animal organizations and courts offer varying definitions of puppy mill. These facilities are problematic because the owners sacrifice the well-being and lives of dogs for money. Puppy mills remain an issue in the United States because they operate legally, and because consumers often do not know the true origins of the dogs they purchase.

The federal government governs puppy mills through the Animal Welfare Act ("AWA"). This statute aims to ensure the humane treatment of pets and animals used in research and commerce. To achieve this goal, the AWA sets licensing and care requirements that people engaging in activities using animals under the statute's scope must follow. AWA provisions also include enforcement mechanisms, such as inspections, license suspension or revocation, penalties, and criminal punishments. However, puppy mill owners continue mistreating their dogs because the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) does not effectively and adequately enforce the AWA. Statutory loopholes exempting certain animals, people, and businesses from AWA provisions partially cause ineffective AWA enforcement. Additionally, USDA inadequately enforces the AWA because inspectors fail to discipline violators and report and correct violations observed during inspections.

<sup>1.</sup> *The Puppy Pipeline*, AM. SOC'Y FOR THE PREVENTION OF CRUELTY TO ANIMALS [hereinafter *Puppy Pipeline*], https://perma.cc/W5NM-9RZ4 (last visited Feb. 29, 2024).

<sup>2.</sup> See id.; see also Humane Soc'y of the U.S., Puppy Mills and Pet Stores 1 (2020) [hereinafter Pet Stores], https://perma.cc/ZR49-5E85.

<sup>3.</sup> See, e.g., Puppy Mills FAQ, HUMANE WORLD FOR ANIMALS [hereinafter FAQ], https://perma.cc/RA4N-4ZZJ (last visited Mar. 5, 2025) (defining a puppy mill as "an inhumane, commercial dog breeding facility in which the health of the dogs is disregarded in order to maintain a low overhead and maximize profits.").

<sup>4.</sup> See More Puppies, More Profits, AM. Soc'y FOR THE PREVENTION OF CRUELTY TO ANIMALS [hereinafter More Puppies], https://perma.cc/5G8B-39CF (last visited Feb. 29, 2024).

<sup>5.</sup> See FAQ, supra note 3; see also PET STORES, supra note 2.

<sup>6.</sup> See, e.g., Animal Welfare Act, 7 U.S.C. §§ 2131–34, 2136, 2140, 2143, 2145–46, 2149.

<sup>7.</sup> See id. § 2131.

<sup>8.</sup> See id. §§ 2133-34, 2143.

<sup>9.</sup> See id. §§ 2146, 2149.

<sup>10.</sup> See Press Release, Am. Soc'y for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, New Audit Shines a Light on Major Deficiencies in the USDA's Oversight of Dog Dealers (July 15, 2021) [hereinafter Shines Light], https://perma.cc/M63Y-X5T5.

<sup>11.</sup> See 7 U.S.C. § 2132(g); see also 9 C.F.R. § 1.1 (2023).

<sup>12.</sup> See Shines Light, supra note 10.

Thus, this Comment argues that federal, state, and local governments should adopt a new "carrot" approach to address the puppy mill problem because the AWA's "stick" approach is insufficient. <sup>13</sup> First, Part II describes puppy mills and the AWA. <sup>14</sup> Part II then highlights AWA enforcement problems and discusses proposed solutions to the AWA's ineffectiveness. <sup>15</sup> Finally, Part II details the carrot-and-stick approach and governmental applications of this approach to renewable energy development and drug decriminalization. <sup>16</sup>

Next, Part III argues that federal, state, and local governments must use a carrot approach to fix the puppy mill problem. <sup>17</sup> The puppy mill carrot approach imitates the incentives used in renewable energy and drug decriminalization efforts. <sup>18</sup> To fix puppy mills, governments must incentivize puppy mill owners, opponents of puppy mill legislation, animal shelters, and the public. <sup>19</sup>

#### II. BACKGROUND

Puppy mills remain problematic despite laws regulating their operations and proposals to improve their conditions. <sup>20</sup> Before discussing solutions to the puppy mill problem, this Comment describes puppy mills and their operations. <sup>21</sup> Then, this Comment details the AWA's current legal framework for regulating puppy mills and its ineffective enforcement at the federal and state levels. <sup>22</sup> Next, Section II.D. examines proposals for improving puppy mills. <sup>23</sup> Finally, Part II concludes by explaining the carrot-and-stick approach. <sup>24</sup>

#### A. Puppy Mills

Section II.A first describes dog mistreatment within puppy mills to explain these facilities' problematic nature.<sup>25</sup> Puppy mill history and current puppy mill operations highlight the mills' poor conditions.<sup>26</sup> Puppy

<sup>13.</sup> Arindra Mishra, *Stick and Carrot Theory–Easy Explanation*, MGMT. WKLY. (Oct. 11, 2021), https://perma.cc/JQB8-Z4S3; *see also infra* Section III.B.

<sup>14.</sup> See infra Sections II.A-B.

<sup>15.</sup> See infra Sections II.C-D.

<sup>16.</sup> See infra Section II.E.

<sup>17.</sup> See infra Section III.B.

<sup>18.</sup> See infra Sections II.E, III.A.

<sup>19.</sup> See infra Section III.B.

<sup>20.</sup> See infra Sections II.B-D.

<sup>21.</sup> See infra Section II.A.22. See infra Sections II.B-C.

<sup>23.</sup> See infra Section II.D.

<sup>24.</sup> See infra Section II.E.

<sup>25.</sup> See infra Section II.A.1.

<sup>26.</sup> See infra Section II.A.2.

mills remain prominent in the United States because the public supports these operations.<sup>27</sup>

#### 1. What is a Puppy Mill?

Several animal organizations define "puppy mills." Humane World for Animals defines a puppy mill as "an inhumane, commercial dog breeding facility in which the health of the dogs is disregarded in order to maintain a low overhead and maximize profits." Similarly, Best Friends—another animal group—refers to puppy mills as "factory farm[s]' for dogs, where profit takes priority over the health, comfort and welfare of the dogs." Overall, the defining characteristics of puppy mills include commercial dog breeding, profit prioritization, and poor living conditions. <sup>31</sup>

The poor living conditions that puppy mill dogs face distinguish puppy mills from other dog breeding operations.<sup>32</sup> Puppy mill owners neglect basic care by failing to provide veterinarians, groom fur, trim nails, and clean teeth.<sup>33</sup> Furthermore, the dogs live in unsanitary, disease-filled conditions in small, wire-floored cages stacked together.<sup>34</sup> These cages restrict a dog's ability to move.<sup>35</sup> Mills that cage dogs outside expose the dogs to extreme heat and cold.<sup>36</sup> Puppy mill owners also ignore the dogs' social and emotional needs, and the dogs often have negative experiences with humans.<sup>37</sup> Furthermore, puppy mills constantly breed female dogs to maximize the number of puppies available for sale.<sup>38</sup> Puppy mill owners then take these puppies from their mothers too soon and ship them to other locations, like pet stores.<sup>39</sup> Finally, when a dog no longer serves the puppy mill owner's purposes, the puppy mill owner often abandons or kills the dog.<sup>40</sup>

Iowa puppy mill owner Daniel Gingerich ran an abusive puppy mill. 41 Inspectors examining Gingerich's puppy mill detailed the condition

<sup>27.</sup> See infra Section II.A.3.

<sup>28.</sup> FAQ, supra note 3; see also What is a Puppy Mill?, BEST FRIENDS [hereinafter BEST FRIENDS], https://perma.cc/F5FA-JQUZ (last visited Feb. 29, 2024).

<sup>29.</sup> *FAQ*, *supra* note 3.

<sup>30.</sup> Best Friends, supra note 28.

<sup>31.</sup> See id.; see also FAQ, supra note 3.

<sup>32.</sup> See BEST FRIENDS, supra note 28; see also FAQ, supra note 3.

<sup>33.</sup> See More Puppies, supra note 4.

<sup>34.</sup> See id.

<sup>35.</sup> See id.

<sup>36.</sup> See id.

<sup>37.</sup> See id.

<sup>38.</sup> See id.

<sup>39.</sup> See id.

<sup>40.</sup> See id.

<sup>41.</sup> See Complaint at 1, United States v. Gingerich, No. 4:21-cv-00283, 2021 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 248625 (S.D. Iowa Sept. 28, 2021).

of Golden Retriever #142, one of several severely emaciated dogs. <sup>42</sup> Golden Retriever #142 died from her emaciated condition. <sup>43</sup> Her death inspired federal legislation to improve puppy mill conditions, but no bills have passed yet. <sup>44</sup> Inspectors observed further mistreatment at Gingerich's puppy mill, including dogs exposed to hot temperatures and insects. <sup>45</sup> Inspectors also detailed dirty living conditions, including horse stalls with animal feces and outdoor shelters with wet, moldy straw. <sup>46</sup> Inspectors located sick dogs with poor dental health, overgrown toenails, and matted fur at the mill. <sup>47</sup> The dogs also ate moldy food and drank contaminated water, both of which contained insects. <sup>48</sup> Finally, inspectors found two dead dogs on Gingrich's property. <sup>49</sup>

Beyond physical mistreatment, puppy mill owners also emotionally and psychologically abuse their dogs.<sup>50</sup> Puppy mill dogs display more fearful and nervous behaviors and less excitement and energy around humans than other dogs.<sup>51</sup> Adopters of former puppy mill dogs have testified to these observations.<sup>52</sup>

<sup>42.</sup> See id. at 12, 16 (detailing that the inspectors observed Golden Retriever #142, an emaciated Poodle, and another emaciated Golden Retriever different from Golden Retriever #142).

<sup>43.</sup> See Goldie's Act: New Legislation Introduced to Protect Dogs in Puppy Mills, Am. Soc'y for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals (Dec. 2, 2021) [hereinafter New Legislation], https://perma.cc/W794-3KV4; see also Goldie's Act (Federal), Animal Legal Defense Fund [hereinafter ALDF], https://perma.cc/SM2R-DM3W (last updated Aug. 31, 2023).

<sup>44.</sup> See New Legislation, supra note 43; ALDF, supra note 43; see also Goldie's Act, H.R. 1788, 118th Cong. (2023) (according to LEXIS's Legislative Outlook, the bill introduced into the House in 2023 has failed); Goldie's Act of 2024, S. 4033, 118th Cong. (2024) (indicating that the 2024 bill in the Senate has failed, as seen in LEXIS's Legislative Outlook).

<sup>45.</sup> See Complaint, supra note 41, at 22, 24, 26.

<sup>46.</sup> See id. at 12, 24.

<sup>47.</sup> See id. at 15-18.

<sup>48.</sup> See id. at 19-21, 26.

<sup>49.</sup> See id. at 3 (stating that inspectors found these dead dogs among other dogs in poor health that Gingerich hid in horse stalls).

<sup>50.</sup> See Franklin D. McMillan et al., Mental Health of Dogs Formerly Used as 'Breeding Stock' in Commercial Breeding Establishments, 135 APPLIED ANIMAL BEHAV. Sci. 86, 90 (2011).

<sup>51.</sup> See id. (including, for example, "sensitivity to touch" and fear of strangers).

<sup>52.</sup> See Barbara S. & Charlie S., Former Puppy Mill Dog Still Has Challenges, AM. Soc'y for the Prevention of Cruelty To Animals (Oct. 21, 2021), https://perma.cc/7VGZ-GVJ5 (describing a former puppy mill Samoyed's fearful behaviors, including trembling, running away from her adopters, and fearing her surroundings); see also Isabella D., Puppy Mill "Mom" Beats the Odds, but Carries the Trauma, AM. Soc'y for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals (June 8, 2020), https://perma.cc/JG27-K9DR (explaining that former female puppy mill dog Groovy "fears her own shadow and the wind," and treats her toys like her puppies).

Despite this mistreatment, puppy mills remain legal in the United States. <sup>53</sup> Furthermore, people struggle to identify and regulate puppy mills because no single, universal legal definition of what constitutes a puppy mill exists. <sup>54</sup> In *Avenson v. Zegart*, the Minnesota District Court articulated a potential puppy mill definition. The court stated that "[a] 'puppy mill' is a dog breeding operation in which the health of the dogs is disregarded in order to maintain a low overhead and maximize profits." <sup>55</sup> This definition mirrors the Humane World for Animals's definition. <sup>56</sup> Thus, the *Avenson* court and the Humane Society agree on the same puppy mill definition. <sup>57</sup>

The court in *Martinelli v. Petland, Inc.*, adopted the *Avenson* definition. <sup>58</sup> In *Martinelli*, the parties disputed the use of "puppy mill" in discovery requests. <sup>59</sup> The defendant claimed that the plaintiffs should not use the vague phrase puppy mill "because there is no universal definition of 'puppy mill." <sup>60</sup> However, the court decided that the lack of a universal definition did not prevent the defendant from understanding the discovery requests. <sup>61</sup> Additionally, the court found the plaintiff's use of the *Avenson* definition provided sufficient guidance to the defendant. <sup>62</sup> However, the defendant argued the *Avenson* definition was insufficient because a non-binding district court developed the *Avenson* definition, the definition had no cited source, and the definition simply reflected capitalism's profit motive. <sup>63</sup> However, the court found the defendant's objections to the *Avenson* definition immaterial to the discovery request. <sup>64</sup>

In contrast, *Smith v. Humane Society of the United States* did not adopt the *Avenson* definition.<sup>65</sup> Instead, the court used dictionaries to develop a puppy mill definition.<sup>66</sup> The court developed its own definition because the defendant's report describing the plaintiff's dog operations did

- 53. See FAQ, supra note 3.
- 54. See Sandra K. Jones, Dealing Dogs: Can We Strengthen Weak Laws in the Dog Industry?, 7 RUTGER L.J. & PUB. POL'Y 442, 448 (2010).
  - 55. Avenson v. Zegart, 577 F. Supp. 958, 960 (Minn. Dist. Ct. 1984).
  - 56. See FAQ, supra note 3.
  - 57. See id.; see also Avenson, 577 F. Supp. at 960.
- 58. See Martinelli v. Petland, Inc., No. 10-407, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 107629, at \*18-19 (D. Kan. Oct. 7, 2010).
  - 59. Martinelli, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 107629, at \*18-20.
  - 60. Id. at \*18.
  - 61. See id. at \*18-20.
  - 62. See id. at \*19-20.
- 63. See id. at \*19 (explaining that the defendant argued the Avenson definition was insufficient because "there is nothing wrong with making a profit" in a capitalist society).
  - 64. See id
- 65. See Smith v. Humane Soc'y, 519 S.W.3d 789, 800–01 (Mo. 2017) (explaining the court developed a dictionary definition of puppy mill because the defamation report, the subject of the litigation, did not define the term).
  - 66. See id.

not define puppy mill.<sup>67</sup> Thus, the lack of a single, universal, legal definition of a puppy mill creates difficulties, as seen in *Avenson*, *Martinelli*, and *Smith*.<sup>68</sup> The lack of a definition allows puppy mill owners to dispute the term to avoid having their operations classified as puppy mills.<sup>69</sup> Because courts may diverge and apply different puppy mill definitions, regulators and courts struggle to identify and regulate puppy mill owners.<sup>70</sup>

### 2. The Emergence of Puppy Mills and the Current State of the Industry

Puppy mills emerged when humans began commercially breeding dogs in the United States after World War II. The Farmers needed a new income source because crops and livestock failed. Following USDA's encouragement, some farmers started commercially breeding dogs instead of growing crops. Dog breeding also allowed farmers to earn money year-round. Dog breeding and poultry farmers easily transformed pens and coops into dog kennels. Dogs bred cheaply, replenished quickly, and their puppies attracted high prices. As more people began commercially breeding dogs, especially in agricultural communities, puppy mill operations spread. Likewise, more pet stores emerged to sell the puppy mills' expanding dog population.

Today, puppy mills still mass-produce dogs for profit, and about 10,000 licensed and unlicensed puppy mills exist in the United States. Approximately 500,000 dogs live in these mills. 80 Because one female dog roughly produces around 9.4 puppies each year, and about 76,779 female dogs live in these facilities, these operations produce an estimated 721,727

<sup>67.</sup> See id.

<sup>68.</sup> See id.; see also Avenson v. Zegart, 577 F. Supp. 958, 960 (Minn. Dist. Ct. 1984); Martinelli, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 107629 at \*18–20.

<sup>69.</sup> See Avenson, 577 F. Supp. at 960; see also Martinelli, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 107629 at \*18–20; Smith, 519 S.W. 3d at 800–801.

<sup>70.</sup> See Avenson, 577 F. Supp. at 960; see also Martinelli, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 107629 at \*18–20; Smith, 519 S.W. 3d at 800–801.

<sup>71.</sup> See Adam J. Fumarola, With Best Friends Like Us Who Needs Enemies? The Phenomenon of the Puppy Mill, the Failure of Legal Regimes to Manage it, and the Positive Prospects of Animal Rights, 6 BUFF. ENV'T. L.J. 253, 262 (1999).

<sup>72.</sup> See id.; see also Paul Solotaroff, The Dog Factory: Inside the Sickening World of Puppy Mills, ROLLING STONE (Jan. 3, 2017), https://perma.cc/5R48-5A4Z.

<sup>73.</sup> See Fumarola, supra note 71.

<sup>74.</sup> See Dog By Dog (5414 Productions, 2015).

<sup>75.</sup> See Solotaroff, supra note 72.

<sup>76.</sup> See id.

<sup>77.</sup> See Dog By Dog, supra note 74.

<sup>78.</sup> See Fumarola, supra note 71.

<sup>79.</sup> See Humane Soc'y of the U.S., Puppy Mills: Facts and Figures (2025), https://perma.cc/2M6V-8CYZ.

<sup>80.</sup> See id.

puppies in one year. <sup>81</sup> However, this figure only considers USDA-licensed puppy mills. <sup>82</sup> When combined with unlicensed mills, roughly 2.2 million puppies originate from puppy mills. <sup>83</sup>

Certain states are major hubs for puppy mill breeding.<sup>84</sup> These ""[pluppy [m]ill [b]elt" states include Ohio, Missouri, Indiana, Iowa, Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, Kansas, Arkansas, Texas, Michigan, Illinois, and Nebraska. 85 Each year, the Humane Society publishes a list of problematic puppy breeders and dealers in its "Horrible Hundred" reports. 86 The Horrible Hundred reports detail puppy mill violations and mistreatment, and these lists spread awareness about puppy mill conditions. 87 However, operators listed in these reports do not necessarily run the worst puppy mills in the United States because some operations are so hidden that people cannot find and inspect them. 88 The Humane Society's 2023 list of "100 problem puppy breeders and dealers," included operators in all of the puppy mill belt states, except Michigan. 89 The 2024 report, however, included neither Texas nor Michigan. 90 Puppy mill distribution also varies within states. 91 In Missouri, puppy mills are spread throughout the state and are highly concentrated in several counties. 92 In contrast, puppy mills in Pennsylvania are primarily concentrated in Lancaster County. 93 Although distribution varies within and among states, puppy mills remain a prominent industry in the United States. 94

<sup>81.</sup> See id.

<sup>82.</sup> See id.

<sup>83.</sup> See id.

<sup>84.</sup> See What is a Puppy Mill?, Bailing Out Benji (Nov. 13, 2022) [hereinafter Bailing out Benji, Puppy Mill], https://perma.cc/NK5N-ACRB.

<sup>85.</sup> *Id* 

<sup>86.</sup> HUMANE SOC'Y OF THE U.S., THE HORRIBLE HUNDRED 2–3 (2023) [hereinafter HORRIBLE HUNDRED 2023], https://perma.cc/TJ5B-77J5; see also HUMANE SOC'Y OF THE U.S., THE HORRIBLE HUNDRED 2–3 (2024) [hereinafter HORRIBLE HUNDRED 2024], https://perma.cc/3KYH-OE6L.

<sup>87.</sup> See Horrible Hundred 2023, supra note 86; Horrible Hundred 2024, supra note 86.

<sup>88.</sup> See Horrible Hundred 2023, supra note 86, at 3; Horrible Hundred 2024, supra note 86, at 3.

<sup>89.</sup> HORRIBLE HUNDRED 2023, *supra* note 86.

<sup>90.</sup> See The Horrible Hundred 2024, supra note 86, at 4.

<sup>91.</sup> See Map of Missouri Puppy Mills, Bailing Out Benji [hereinafter Missouri Map], https://perma.cc/EYA5-734K (last visited Oct. 15, 2023); see also Map of Pennsylvania Puppy Mills, Bailing Out Benji [hereinafter Pennsylvania Map], https://perma.cc/N3G9-PPUV (last visited Oct. 15, 2023).

<sup>92.</sup> See Missouri Map, supra note 91.

<sup>93.</sup> See Pennsylvania Map, supra note 91.

<sup>94.</sup> See Missouri Map, supra note 91; see also Pennsylvania Map, supra note 91.

#### 3. Public Support of Puppy Mills

Puppy mills remain prominent because people continue purchasing puppy mill dogs. 95 Puppy mill owners "maximize profit by producing the highest number of puppies at the lowest possible cost." 96 However, businesses, including puppy mills, only survive if customers buy products. 97 The puppy mill industry survives because the public purchases their puppies. 98 For example, pet stores purchase more puppies when dogs sell quickly to meet demand. 99 However, low puppy sales indicate decreased public demand for puppies. 100 Pet stores then purchase fewer puppies, thus decreasing puppy mill profits because the mills sell fewer puppies. 101

People purchase puppy mill dogs through retail pet stores. <sup>102</sup> Puppy mill owners sell puppies to pet store operators, who then sell the puppies to the public. <sup>103</sup> A "dog broker" or "puppy dealer" links puppy mill owners and pet stores. <sup>104</sup> A dog broker or puppy dealer purchases puppies "in bulk" from puppy mill owners and then sells the puppies to pet stores. <sup>105</sup> Puppy mill owners and brokers hire "transporters" or "carriers" to transport the puppies over large distances for long periods. <sup>106</sup> These puppies may die in vehicle accidents or when trucks overheat. <sup>107</sup>

People then frequently buy puppy mill puppies at pet stores. <sup>108</sup> However, the public often does not know the puppies' true source. <sup>109</sup> Pet stores and puppy mills use language and advertising to hide the puppies' origins. <sup>110</sup> For example, pet stores and puppy mill owners claim that USDA-licensed breeders and USDA-approved facilities breed the

<sup>95.</sup> See Puppy Pipeline, supra note 1; see also Bailing Out Benji, Puppy Mill, supra note 84.

<sup>96.</sup> *More Puppies*, supra note 4.

<sup>97.</sup> See Bailing Out Benji, Puppy Mill, supra note 84.

<sup>98.</sup> See id.

<sup>99.</sup> See id.

<sup>100.</sup> See id.

<sup>101.</sup> See id.

<sup>102.</sup> See PET STORES, supra note 2.

<sup>103.</sup> See id.

<sup>104.</sup> Puppy Pipeline, supra note 1.

<sup>105.</sup> Id.

<sup>106.</sup> *Id*.

<sup>107.</sup> See PET STORES, supra note 2.

<sup>108.</sup> See Puppy Pipeline, supra note 1; see also FAQ, supra note 3.

<sup>109.</sup> See BEST FRIENDS, supra note 28; see also Jones, supra note 54, at 454–55; Press Release, Best Friends, New Survey Reveals More Than Two in Five Americans Don't Realize Pet Shop Puppies Are Bred in Inhumane Mills (June 23, 2014) [hereinafter New Survey Reveals], https://perma.cc/89T3-L5J7.

<sup>110.</sup> See PET STORES, supra note 2.

puppies.<sup>111</sup> However, USDA licensing does not guarantee that a breeder does not operate a puppy mill.<sup>112</sup> Thus, the public unknowingly supports puppy mills by buying puppies from these operations through pet stores.<sup>113</sup>

Additionally, the public supports puppy mills by purchasing puppies directly from puppy mill owners and brokers. <sup>114</sup> Puppy mill owners and brokers sell puppies directly to the public through online sales and print advertisements. <sup>115</sup> Brokers serve as middlemen between breeders and buyers by pairing puppies and buyers together. <sup>116</sup> Puppy mill owners disguise themselves as family breeders and either send buyers puppies without first meeting the dog or prevent buyers from coming directly to where the owner keeps the dogs. <sup>117</sup> Regardless of the purchasing method, the public's purchases of puppy mill puppies allow the mill owners to run profitable businesses.

Finally, the public's preferences support puppy mills. Puppy mills breed trendy, in-demand dog breeds. Many people want "hypoallergenic breeds, extra tiny dogs or trendy breed mixes marketed as 'designer' dogs." People also support puppy mills by choosing to buy a dog rather than to adopt a shelter dog. Thus, buyer preferences and purchases continue the puppy mill cycle.

Puppy mill breeding practices harm puppy mill dog purchasers.<sup>121</sup> Marley's owners purchased Marley, a Cavalier King Charles Spaniel, from a California pet store.<sup>122</sup> Marley suffered from various health conditions, including parasite infections, knee and hip dislocations, and hereditary diseases.<sup>123</sup> Ultimately, Marley's owners euthanized three-year-

<sup>111.</sup> See id.; see also Want to Buy a Puppy? Don't be Fooled by Pet Stores and Puppy Mills, HUMANE WORLD FOR ANIMALS [hereinafter Buy a Puppy], https://perma.cc/EW45-FWXM (last visited Mar. 12, 2025).

<sup>112.</sup> See infra Section II.B.2.

<sup>113.</sup> See BEST FRIENDS, supra note 28; see also Jones, supra note 54, at 454–55; New Survey Reveals, supra note 109.

<sup>114.</sup> See Jones, supra note 54, at 454; see also Puppy Pipeline, supra note 1.

<sup>115.</sup> See Jones, supra note 54, at 454; see also Puppy Pipeline, supra note 1; Katherine C. Tushaus, Don't Buy the Doggy in the Window: Ending the Cycle that Perpetuates Commercial Breeding with Regulation of the Retail Pet Industry, 14 DRAKE J. AGRIC. L. 501, 504 (2009).

<sup>116.</sup> See Puppy Pipeline, supra note 1.

<sup>117.</sup> See Tushaus, supra note 115; see also Buy a Puppy, supra note 111.

<sup>118.</sup> See Humane Soc'y of the U.S., Designer, Teacup, and Hypoallergenic Dogs (2020), https://perma.cc/KMF3-ET8F.

<sup>119.</sup> *Id*.

<sup>120.</sup> See PET STORES, supra note 2.

<sup>121.</sup> See id. at 2.

<sup>122.</sup> See Their Dog Died at 3 Years Old: What Marley's Family Wants You to Know, Am. Soc'y for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals (Sept. 17, 2020), https://perma.cc/DB9Q-KPBF.

<sup>123.</sup> See id.

old Marley to relieve her pain. <sup>124</sup> As Marley's story illustrates, puppy mill purchases create financial hardship for purchasers because the puppies often have costly health conditions. <sup>125</sup> Owners bear these costs because pet stores refuse to help with these expenses. <sup>126</sup> However, these hereditary and life-threatening conditions are preventable because these problems result from improper breeding practices in puppy mills. <sup>127</sup>

#### B. The Animal Welfare Act

Puppy mill mistreatment continues despite legal prohibitions and regulations. <sup>128</sup> The AWA, a federal statute governing puppy mills, aims to ensure the humane treatment of covered animals. <sup>129</sup> This Section examines the AWA and its relationship to puppy mill operations. <sup>130</sup> Section II.B then briefly discusses the AWA's enactment and Congress's purposes in passing the statute. <sup>131</sup> To conclude, this Section examines the AWA's text and explains the statute's scope, applicability, and requirements. <sup>132</sup>

#### 1. History and Purpose of the AWA

Congress enacted the Laboratory Animal Welfare Act in 1966 to prevent people from stealing animals for research. Federal lawmakers passed the Laboratory Animal Welfare Act because of Pepper, a Dalmatian stolen and killed for research. In 1970, legislators renamed

<sup>124.</sup> See id.

<sup>125.</sup> See id.; see also Store-Bought Pup with Hereditary Disease Highlights Urgency of Shutting Down the Puppy Mill Pipeline, AM. SOC'Y FOR THE PREVENTION OF CRUELTY TO ANIMALS (Feb. 6, 2020) [hereinafter Store-Bought Pup], https://perma.cc/2CXE-SW67 (detailing the story of Griffin, a puppy mill pup purchased from a pet store with a hereditary disease that prevented him from walking properly; explaining that Griffin's family contacted the pet store, but the pet store refused to cover Griffin's medical bills and only offered to take Griffin back, which left Griffin's family feeling deceived).

<sup>126.</sup> See Store-Bought Pup, supra note 125.

<sup>127.</sup> See Connie T., Dwarf German Shepherd Victim of Cruel Breeding Industry, AM. Soc'y for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, https://perma.cc/EJ9S-ZGBR (last visited Oct. 15, 2023) (explaining a dwarf German Shepherd suffered from Pituitary Dwarfism, a hereditary condition, even though genetic testing detects and prevents the disease).

<sup>128.</sup> See infra Section II.B.2.

<sup>129.</sup> See infra Section II.B.1.

<sup>130.</sup> See infra Section II.B.

<sup>131.</sup> See infra Section II.B.1.

<sup>132.</sup> See infra Section II.B.2.

<sup>133.</sup> See Animal Welfare Act, Animal Welfare Inst. [hereinafter Animal Welfare Inst.], https://perma.cc/F3SP-9QPS (last visited Feb. 29, 2024); see also Animal Welfare Act Timeline, NAT'L AGRIC. LIBR. [hereinafter Timeline], https://perma.cc/T5FT-L28A (last visited Feb. 29, 2024).

<sup>134.</sup> See Timeline, supra note 133.

the statute the Animal Welfare Act and have since expanded and amended this law. 135

Congress enacted the AWA to achieve several specific goals. Legislators primarily passed the AWA to regulate interstate and foreign commerce. These federal lawmakers recognized that animals and activities using animals largely comprised and impacted commerce. Thus, the AWA sought to eliminate burdens on commerce and regulate animals and animal activities in commerce. Congress had three aims in enacting the AWA: (1) secure humane care and treatment for pets, research animals, and exhibition animals; (2) provide humane treatment while animals were transported in commerce; and (3) prevent animals from being stolen and used in commerce.

#### 2. AWA Provisions

The federal government primarily uses the AWA to regulate animal treatment and care. <sup>140</sup> Overall, the AWA "addresses the humane treatment of animals intended for research, bred for commercial sale, exhibited to the public, or commercially transported." <sup>141</sup> The AWA focuses on specific animal uses, including researching, breeding, exhibiting, transporting, importing, and fighting. <sup>142</sup> The AWA's scope also includes pets. <sup>143</sup>

Congress derives its power to enact the AWA from the U.S. Constitution's Commerce Clause and the U.S. Supreme Court's interpretation of the clause. 144 The AWA's scope is limited because the statute applies only to "animals and activities" in "interstate or foreign commerce or [those that] substantially affect such commerce or the free flow thereof." Animal-related activities that do not involve interstate or foreign commerce fall outside of the AWA's scope. 146 Instead, state and

<sup>135.</sup> See Animal Welfare Inst., supra note 133.

<sup>136.</sup> See Animal Welfare Act, 7 U.S.C. § 2131.

<sup>137.</sup> See id.

<sup>138.</sup> See id.

<sup>139.</sup> See id.

<sup>140.</sup> See CONG. RSCH. SERV., THE ANIMAL WELFARE ACT: BACKGROUND AND SELECTED ISSUES 1 (2023) [hereinafter ISSUES], https://perma.cc/6MJ9-ATGE.

<sup>141.</sup> Id.

<sup>142.</sup> See id. at 1-4.

<sup>143.</sup> See id. at 3.

<sup>144.</sup> See U.S. CONST. art 1, § 8, cl. 3; see also United States v. Lopez, 514 U.S. 549, 558–59 (1995) (explaining that Congress regulates three activity types under its Commerce Clause power: (1) "channels of interstate commerce"; (2) "instrumentalities of interstate commerce, or persons or things in interstate commerce"; and (3) "activities having a substantial relation to interstate commerce").

<sup>145. 7</sup> U.S.C. § 2131.

<sup>146.</sup> See id.

local laws regulate other animal welfare topics and animal-related activities. 147

To begin, the AWA defines key terms used in the statute's text. One key term is "animal," as the AWA only covers "any live or dead dog, cat, monkey (nonhuman primate mammal), guinea pig, hamster, rabbit, or such other warm-blooded animal . . . being used, or is intended for use, for research, testing, experimentation, or exhibition purposes, or as a pet." Other warm-blooded animals include zoo animals and captive marine animals. <sup>149</sup> Thus, the AWA does not apply to all animals. <sup>150</sup>

Furthermore, the AWA covers the activities of specific people and entities. AWA provisions apply to research facilities, dealers, exhibitors, intermediate handlers, and carriers. <sup>151</sup> The AWA addresses puppy mills through its provisions regarding dealers, intermediate handlers, and carriers. A dealer is:

any person who, in commerce, for compensation or profit, delivers for transportation, or transports, except as a carrier, buys, or sells, or negotiates the purchase or sale of, (1) any dog or other animal whether alive or dead for research, teaching, exhibition, or use as a pet, or (2) any dog for hunting, security, or breeding purposes. <sup>152</sup>

Puppy mill owners fulfill the AWA's dealer definition by selling dogs as pets and buying dogs for breeding.<sup>153</sup> The AWA also governs puppy mill operations through the definitions of intermediate handler and carrier.<sup>154</sup> An intermediate handler is "any person . . . who is engaged in any business in which he receives custody of animals in connection with their transportation in commerce."<sup>155</sup> A carrier is an "operator of any airline, railroad, motor carrier, shipping line, or other enterprise, which is engaged in the business of transporting any animals for hire."<sup>156</sup> Thus, the intermediate handler and carrier definitions address puppy mill operations by including people involved in transporting puppy mill dogs.<sup>157</sup>

<sup>147.</sup> See ISSUES, supra note 140, at 2; see also infra Section II.C.3. (discussing state and local animal welfare laws).

<sup>148. 7</sup> U.S.C. § 2132(g).

<sup>149.</sup> See Issues, supra note 140, at 3.

<sup>150.</sup> See id.; see also 7 U.S.C. § 2132(g).

<sup>151.</sup> See 7 U.S.C. §§ 2133–34, 2136, 2140, 2143.

<sup>152.</sup> Id. § 2132(f).

<sup>153.</sup> See id.

<sup>154.</sup> See id. § 2132(i).

<sup>155.</sup> Id.

<sup>156.</sup> Id. § 2132(j).

<sup>157.</sup> See id. § 2132 (i)-(j).

The AWA requires operating licenses for dealers and exhibitors. <sup>158</sup> Dealers need licenses to sell or transport animals used as pets. <sup>159</sup> The AWA also forbids unlicensed dealers and exhibitors from selling, transporting, or buying animals among each other. <sup>160</sup> However, AWA licensing requirements exempt small, "de minimis" operations. <sup>161</sup> For example, this exemption applies to puppy mill operators that keep "four or fewer breeding female" dogs and sell the puppies as pets or exhibits. <sup>162</sup> People transporting animals, such as intermediate handlers and carriers, do not need licenses under the AWA. <sup>163</sup> The AWA's licensing requirements also exempt retail pet stores. <sup>164</sup> Finally, the AWA sets registration requirements similar to those for licenses. <sup>165</sup>

Dealers and exhibitors must receive licenses from the Secretary of Agriculture or USDA employees representing them (the "Secretary"). 166 The Secretary issues licenses when applicants prove compliance with AWA standards and agree to continue compliance. 167 The Secretary may suspend or permanently revoke licenses for AWA violations. 168 License suspension or revocation prohibits a person from engaging in AWA activities, such as buying, selling, and transporting animals. 169 Furthermore, AWA violators may incur civil penalties and criminal punishments. 170

In addition to setting licensing and registration requirements, the AWA sets animal care and treatment guidelines to protect animals in interstate commerce. The AWA seeks to provide "humane care and treatment" to AWA-covered animals. Accordingly, the Secretary sets standards for "the humane handling, care, treatment, and transportation of animals by dealers, research facilities, and exhibitors. These standards must include minimum standards "for handling, housing, feeding, watering, sanitation, ventilation, shelter from extremes of weather and

```
158. See id. § 2134.
```

<sup>159.</sup> See id.

<sup>160.</sup> See id.

<sup>161. 7</sup> U.S.C. § 2133.

<sup>162. 9</sup> C.F.R. § 2.1(a)(3)(iii) (2023).

<sup>163.</sup> See id. § 2.1(a)(3)(v)–(vi).

<sup>164.</sup> See id. § 2.1(a)(3)(i).

<sup>165.</sup> See 7 U.S.C. § 2136 (requiring registration for research facilities, intermediate handlers, carriers, and unlicensed exhibitors but exempting retail pet stores).

<sup>166.</sup> Id. §§ 2132-33.

<sup>167.</sup> See id. § 2133.

<sup>168.</sup> See id. § 2149(a).

<sup>169.</sup> See 9 C.F.R. § 2.10(c) (2020).

<sup>170.</sup> See 7 U.S.C. § 2149(b), (d).

<sup>171.</sup> See id. § 2131.

<sup>172.</sup> Id.

<sup>173.</sup> Id. § 2143(a)(1).

temperatures, adequate veterinary care," and exercise. <sup>174</sup> The Secretary also develops standards that intermediate handlers and carriers must follow when transporting and handling animals in interstate commerce. <sup>175</sup> Thus, the AWA requires the Secretary to take steps to provide animals with humane care and treatment.

The AWA also contains enforcement and compliance mechanisms. Currently, USDA's Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service ("APHIS") enforces the AWA. The Within APHIS, the Animal Care ("AC") unit oversees enforcement. To ensure AWA compliance, agency representatives investigate and inspect dealers, exhibitors, intermediate handlers, carriers, and research facilities. The AC may inspect applicants seeking licenses under the AWA. The AC may inspect also inspect licensed and registered facilities unannounced. Finally, AC inspects facilities after receiving public complaints or learning of unlicensed or unregistered facilities.

#### C. Current AWA Ineffectiveness and Inadequacy

Despite AWA regulations, puppy mill owners prioritize profits over their dogs' well-being. Puppy mill owners continue mistreating dogs because USDA ineffectively and inadequately enforces the AWA. Additionally, the AWA's statutory loopholes hinder the statute's effectiveness. Purthermore, states fail to protect puppy mill dogs and to properly enforce puppy mill laws. 185

<sup>174.</sup> Id. § 2143(a)(2).

<sup>175.</sup> See id. § 2143(a)(4) (explaining that, for example, the Secretary may set standards for "containers, feed, water, rest, ventilation, temperature, and handling" during transportation).

<sup>176.</sup> See About Animal Care, ANIMAL & PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERV. [hereinafter Animal Care], https://perma.cc/4R9M-2GP6 (last modified Aug. 14, 2024); see also Animal Welfare Act, ANIMAL & PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERV. [hereinafter APHIS, Animal Welfare Act], https://perma.cc/N5KK-HZCP (last modified Jan. 12, 2022).

<sup>177.</sup> See Animal Care, supra note 176; see also APHIS, Animal Welfare Act, supra note 176.

<sup>178.</sup> See 7 U.S.C. § 2146(a).

<sup>179.</sup> See AWA Inspection and Annual Reports, ANIMAL & PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERV. [hereinafter Annual Reports], https://perma.cc/8THW-FEZ7 (last modified Feb. 25, 2025); see also Animal Welfare Act Inspections, ANIMAL & PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERV. [hereinafter Inspections], https://perma.cc/5YPN-GXU8 (last modified Nov. 18, 2020).

<sup>180.</sup> See Annual Reports, supra note 179; see also Inspections, supra note 179.

<sup>181.</sup> See Annual Reports, supra note 179; see also Inspections, supra note 179.

<sup>182.</sup> See More Puppies, supra note 4.

<sup>183.</sup> See infra Section II.C.2.

<sup>184.</sup> See infra Section II.C.1.

<sup>185.</sup> See infra Section II.C.3.

#### 1. Loopholes in the AWA

Puppy mill owners can circumvent AWA provisions. One loophole exists in the statute's animal definition. The AWA excludes birds, rats, and mice bred for research purposes, horses used for non-research purposes, and "farm animals . . . used or intended for use as food or fiber . . . . "186 Furthermore, this definition does not mention cold-blooded animals, such as fish and reptiles. 187 The AWA's narrow animal definition leaves many animals unprotected. Glaringly, rats and mice constitute approximately 95% of research animals, yet the AWA excludes them. 188 Thus, most research animals lack protection despite the AWA's goal to provide humane treatment and care to research animals. 189

The statute's retail pet store exemption creates another AWA loophole. A retail pet store is "a place of business or residence at which the seller, buyer, and the animal available for sale are physically present so that every buyer may personally observe the animal prior to purchasing and/or taking custody of that animal after purchase." Puppy mill owners qualify for the exemption if they sell puppies "directly to the public," such as by bringing buyers to the puppy mill but keeping buyers away from operations. Puppy mill owners also previously used online sales to claim this exemption, but APHIS closed this loophole. 192

#### 2. USDA's Lack of Enforcement of AWA Provisions

AWA enforcement is also problematic because USDA inadequately enforces AWA provisions. <sup>193</sup> The Office of the Inspector General ("OIG") audited USDA and found a pattern of inadequate AWA enforcement. <sup>194</sup> For example, OIG observed that AC typically did not enforce AWA provisions for first-time violators and failed to act against repeat violators. <sup>195</sup> Similarly, APHIS's penalty calculation method allowed

<sup>186.</sup> Animal Welfare Act, 7 U.S.C. § 2132(g).

<sup>187.</sup> See ISSUES, supra note 140, at 3.

<sup>188.</sup> See The Importance of Animal Research, NAT'L ASS'N FOR BIOMEDICAL RSCH., https://perma.cc/SW5P-TCDC (last visited Nov. 16, 2023); see also 7 U.S.C. § 2132(g).

<sup>189.</sup> See 7 U.S.C. § 2131(1).

<sup>190. 9</sup> C.F.R. § 1.1 (2023).

<sup>191.</sup> Id.; see also Jones, supra note 54, at 454.

<sup>192.</sup> See Cong. RSCH. SERV., THE ANIMAL WELFARE ACT: BACKGROUND AND SELECTED ANIMAL WELFARE LEGISLATION 3 (2016), https://perma.cc/RZA5-UCXD (stating APHIS closed the online sale loophole by changing the retail pet store definition to require the seller, buyer, and animal to all be present to allow the buyer to actually see the animal).

<sup>193.</sup> See Shines Light, supra note 10.

<sup>194.</sup> See id.

<sup>195.</sup> See Off. of Inspector Gen., Animal & Plant Health Inspection Service Animal Care Program: Inspections of Problematic Dealers 2, 8–9 (2010), https://perma.cc/A3FS-2B8X.

APHIS to award penalties too low to deter violations. These low penalties were possible because APHIS wrongly applied penalty guidelines. Other inspection problems included inspectors improperly issuing violations, wrongly lowering penalties for repeat violators, and incorrectly asserting good faith to reduce penalties.

Inspectors exacerbate enforcement problems by failing to correct violations and protect animals in poor conditions. <sup>199</sup> OIG observed that APHIS did not remove unhealthy animals in conditions that violated the AWA. <sup>200</sup> Instead, inspectors gave violators opportunities to correct the non-compliance. <sup>201</sup> For instance, APHIS inspectors observed a severely emaciated dog known as Golden Retriever #142 when they inspected puppy mill owner Daniel Gingerich's facility. <sup>202</sup> APHIS repeatedly observed Golden Retriever #142's emaciated state, yet inspectors left the dog in Gingerich's care, eventually leading to her death. <sup>203</sup> Thus, APHIS failed to remove the emaciated dog despite the inspectors' ability to treat or confiscate the animal under the AWA. <sup>204</sup>

#### 3. State Approaches to Animal Protection Laws

Although AC enforces the AWA, state and local governments also enact animal welfare laws. <sup>205</sup> As of 2020, however, 16 states still lack state puppy mill laws. <sup>206</sup> For states with these laws, the requirements vary, as only 17 states require both licenses and inspections. <sup>207</sup> And even states with comprehensive puppy mill laws do not adequately protect animals. <sup>208</sup>

<sup>196.</sup> See id. at 2.

<sup>197.</sup> See id.

<sup>198.</sup> See id. at 18, 31–33 (describing an inspector's wrongful classification of a tick violation as an indirect instead of a direct violation during an Oklahoma facility inspection; explaining an inspector's improper application of APHIS's "per animal, per day" counting method by counting an unlicensed Indiana breeder's sales of 19 puppies sold over two days as two violations, not a violation for each animal sold; stating APHIS's misapplication of a good faith penalty reduction to a Tennessee facility that failed to correct previous violations; detailing APHIS's reduction of an Ohio breeder's penalty by claiming the breeder, who had 29 prior violations, had no previous violations).

<sup>199.</sup> See id. at 9.

<sup>200.</sup> See id.

<sup>201.</sup> See id.

<sup>202.</sup> See Complaint, supra note 41, at 12.

<sup>203.</sup> See id.; see also New Legislation, supra note 43; ALDF, supra note 43.

<sup>204.</sup> See 9 C.F.R. § 2.129(a)—(c) (2001) (stating APHIS may tell a dealer, exhibitor, intermediate handler, or carrier to treat a suffering animal and that if such a person cannot be located or refuses to treat the animal, APHIS may treat the animal itself or confiscate the animal for treatment or euthanasia).

<sup>205.</sup> See Animal Welfare Act, 7 U.S.C. § 2145(a).

<sup>206.</sup> See Humane Soc'y of the U.S., State Puppy Mill Laws in the U.S. (2020), https://perma.cc/ZSD6-RCLK.

<sup>207.</sup> See id.

<sup>208.</sup> See id.; see also Bailing Out Benji, Puppy Mill, supra note 84.

For example, both Missouri and Pennsylvania require licenses and inspections, yet remain puppy mill belt states. <sup>209</sup>

Despite being a puppy mill belt state, Missouri's laws establish puppy mill care standards that are "among the strongest" in the United States. <sup>210</sup> The Missouri Canine Cruelty Prevention Act requires dog shelters to (1) have "adequate space [for dogs] to turn around and stretch" and (2) protect dogs "from the elements." <sup>211</sup> Missouri law also prohibits wire flooring and requires floor designs that will not harm or allow an animal's legs and feet "to pass through any openings." <sup>212</sup> Still, the 2023 Horrible Hundred report reveals that Missouri breeders violate these requirements. <sup>213</sup> Inspectors observed flooring with gaps large enough for puppies' legs to fit through in John and Anna Mary Reiff's Missouri puppy mill. <sup>214</sup> Thus, Missouri's laws show that states with protective laws fail to protect puppy mill dogs. <sup>215</sup>

Moreover, puppy mill owners may avoid punishment despite violating state puppy mill laws. <sup>216</sup> For example, operator Matthew Stoltzfus violated Pennsylvania's Dog Law. <sup>217</sup> The Pennsylvania government criminally charged Stoltzfus but later dropped the case. <sup>218</sup> Stoltzfus kept his license and continued selling puppies online. <sup>219</sup>

Additionally, state agencies do not always properly enforce puppy mill laws. For example, the Missouri Department of Agriculture's 2008 audit revealed that the Animal Care Facilities Act ("ACFA") program only inspected 1,111 of 2,769 required facilities in 2006. <sup>220</sup> Likewise, a 2001 audit concluded that improper inspections placed animals in dangerous conditions. <sup>221</sup> The audit reported that state inspections only took 15 to 30 minutes and were not as thorough as federal inspections. <sup>222</sup> State inspectors also failed to report visible violations. <sup>223</sup> Even if state agencies

<sup>209.</sup> See Bailing Out Benji, Puppy Mill, supra note 84.

<sup>210.</sup> Am. Soc'y for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals, State Puppy Mill Chart 2, 5 (2015), https://perma.cc/5WZS-Z8S5.

<sup>211.</sup> Mo. Rev. Stat. § 273.345(2) (2010).

<sup>212.</sup> Id. § 273.345(7)(3); see also Mo. Code Regs. Ann. tit. 2 § 30-9.030(1)(F)(1)(B)(X) (2020).

<sup>213.</sup> See HORRIBLE HUNDRED 2023, supra note 86, at 11–18.

<sup>214.</sup> See id. at 16.

<sup>215.</sup> See id.; see also Mo. Rev. Stat.  $\S$  273.345(7)(3); Mo. Code Regs. Ann. tit. 2  $\S$  30-9.030(1)(F)(1)(B)(X).

<sup>216.</sup> See Dog By Dog, supra note 74.

<sup>217.</sup> See id.

<sup>218.</sup> See id.

<sup>219.</sup> See id.

 $<sup>220.\ \</sup>textit{See}$  Susan Montee, Mo. State Auditor, Audit of Missouri Department of Agriculture 18 (2008), https://perma.cc/F4D8-MWSC.

<sup>221.</sup> See Claire McCaskill, Mo. State Auditor, Audit of Animal Care Facilities Inspection Program (2001), https://perma.cc/M6UF-HQNJ.

<sup>222.</sup> See id. at 2-3.

<sup>223.</sup> See id. at 3, 5.

issued violations, they may allow repeat offenders to keep operating.<sup>224</sup> For example, Pennsylvania inspectors have repeatedly issued warnings and violations to Pennsylvania puppy mill owner Margaret Graft starting in 2010, yet Graft continues to operate and hold a Pennsylvania license.<sup>225</sup> Hence, state agencies inadequately enforce state puppy mill protection laws and allow violators to continue operating.<sup>226</sup>

#### D. Current and Proposed Solutions to AWA Ineffectiveness

Puppy mills remain problematic due to federal and state governments' ineffective enforcement.<sup>227</sup> Governments have proposed and implemented solutions to fix puppy mill enforcement problems.<sup>228</sup> First, state and local governments have enacted retail pet sale bans to stop puppy mill dog sales.<sup>229</sup> Governments have also attempted to enact new laws that would strengthen AWA enforcement.<sup>230</sup>

#### 1. Retail Pet Sale Bans

States and local governments enacted retail pet sale bans to combat puppy mills.<sup>231</sup> A retail pet sale ban disrupts a puppy mill's consumer base because these bans prevent pet stores from selling commercially sourced animals, including dogs.<sup>232</sup> Thus, these laws encourage adoption over commercial pet purchases.<sup>233</sup> California enacted the first state retail pet sale ban in 2017.<sup>234</sup> Other state and local governments also enacted these bans, including Maryland and Chicago.<sup>235</sup> More states and cities are currently trying to pass these bans.<sup>236</sup> For example, Pennsylvania recently tried enacting Victoria's Law to ban commercial retail pet sales, but the bill failed.<sup>237</sup>

Retail pet sale bans have survived constitutional challenges. <sup>238</sup> Courts upheld these bans despite challenges from pet stores and breeders in

```
224. See HORRIBLE HUNDRED 2023, supra note 86, at 24.
```

<sup>225.</sup> See id.

<sup>226.</sup> See id.; see also Montee, supra note 220.

<sup>227.</sup> See supra Section II.C.2-3.

<sup>228.</sup> See infra Section II.D.

<sup>229.</sup> See infra Section II.D.1.

<sup>230.</sup> See infra Section II.D.2.

<sup>231.</sup> See Retail Pet Sale Bans, ANIMAL LEGAL DEF. FUND [hereinafter Retail Pet Sale Bans], https://perma.cc/Z3EF-GQRS (last visited Feb. 29, 2024).

<sup>232.</sup> See id.; see, e.g., CAL. HEALTH & SAFETY CODE § 122354.5 (Deering 2023).

<sup>233.</sup> See Retail Pet Sale Bans, supra note 231.

<sup>234.</sup> See id.

<sup>235.</sup> See id.

<sup>236.</sup> See, e.g., S.B. 44, 203rd Gen. Assemb., Reg. Sess. (Pa. 2019).

<sup>237.</sup> See id.

<sup>238.</sup> See Park Pet Shop, Inc. v. City of Chicago, 872 F.3d 495, 497-98 (7th Cir. 2017).

several cases.<sup>239</sup> For example, a court upheld Chicago's ban under the Commerce Clause and the Illinois Constitution.<sup>240</sup> However, state governments have used preemption to prevent local governments from enacting these bans.<sup>241</sup> For instance, the Arkansas state legislature recently preempted laws from local governments banning retail pet sales.<sup>242</sup> Thus, although retail pet sale bans originally combated puppy mills effectively, preemption claims threaten the future of these bans.<sup>243</sup>

#### 2. Laws Seeking to Enact Stronger Enforcement

Finally, governments attempted to enact laws that would strengthen puppy mill enforcement, such as Goldie's Act.<sup>244</sup> This proposed legislation sought to strengthen USDA's AWA enforcement by increasing inspections and changing violation recording methods.<sup>245</sup> Goldie's Act wanted inspectors to inspect dealers', exhibitors', and researchers' facilities at least once per year.<sup>246</sup> If inspectors found violations, they needed to fully record and detail the violations.<sup>247</sup> Inspectors also would have needed to continue inspections until facilities corrected the violations.<sup>248</sup> Additionally, Goldie's Act tried to protect animals in poor health by requiring inspectors to immediately remove suffering animals.<sup>249</sup> Finally, the proposed statute aimed to increase the harshness of penalties for violations, such as by prohibiting penalty reductions over 10%.<sup>250</sup>

#### E. The Carrot-and-Stick Approach: Incentives or Punishments?

This Comment now describes the carrot-and-stick approach to provide solutions to the puppy mill problem. The carrot-and-stick framework outlines two methods for altering people's behavior.<sup>251</sup> Although people use both approaches, the carrot approach has gained

<sup>239.</sup> See id.

<sup>240.</sup> *See id.* (explaining Chicago's ban complied with the Commerce Clause because the ban did not "discriminate against interstate commerce" and complied with Chicago's rulemaking powers under the Illinois Constitution).

<sup>241.</sup> See H.B. 1591, 94th Gen. Assemb., Reg. Sess. (Ark. 2023); see also Preemption, LEGAL INFO. INST., https://perma.cc/E726-P7MZ (last visited Feb. 29, 2024) (defining preemption as the process by which governments with greater authority prevent governments with less authority from passing conflicting laws).

<sup>242.</sup> See Ark. H.B. 1591.

<sup>243.</sup> See id.; see also Park Pet Shop, 872 F.3d at 497–98.

<sup>244.</sup> See Goldie's Act, H.R. 1788, 118th Cong. (2023).

<sup>245.</sup> See id.

<sup>246.</sup> See id.

<sup>247.</sup> See id.

<sup>248.</sup> See id.

<sup>249.</sup> See id.

<sup>250.</sup> See id.

<sup>251.</sup> See Mishra, supra note 13.

popularity in both the legal field and society generally.<sup>252</sup> Specifically, the U.S., Oregon, and Portuguese governments implemented the carrot approach in renewable energy development and drug decriminalization.<sup>253</sup>

#### 1. Overview of Carrots and Sticks

The carrot-and-stick approach describes two ways to influence the behavior of individuals and groups. <sup>254</sup> The "carrot" encourages a specific behavior with rewards. <sup>255</sup> In contrast, the "stick" punishes people to deter behaviors. <sup>256</sup> In this Comment, the "stick" refers to punishment, and the "carrot" refers to an incentive. <sup>257</sup>

People seeking to influence a person's or group's behavior must choose the carrot, the stick, or both. <sup>258</sup> Currently, people increasingly choose the carrot approach because this approach has gained momentum in the legal field and in society. <sup>259</sup> For example, legal systems increasingly use tax incentives and subsidies to influence taxpayers' behaviors. <sup>260</sup> Nonlegally, parenting techniques demonstrate the carrot approach's growth. <sup>261</sup> Many parents have abandoned physical punishments, like spanking, in favor of other behaviors, like encouragement. <sup>262</sup> However, both society and law continue to use the stick approach. <sup>263</sup> For example, the military uses the stick approach. <sup>264</sup> Drafts threaten criminal punishment to ensure military service, and boot camps use disciplinary measures to prevent behavioral infractions. <sup>265</sup> In the legal field, parties threaten damages to prevent contract breaches. <sup>266</sup> The current legal framework for addressing puppy mill violations uses the stick approach, as AWA violators may receive fines or have licenses revoked. <sup>267</sup>

<sup>252.</sup> See Gerrit De Geest & Giuseppe Dari-Mattiacci, The Rise of Carrots and the Decline of Sticks, 80 U. CHI. L. REV. 341, 343-44 (2013).

<sup>253.</sup> See infra Section II.E.2., III.A..

<sup>254.</sup> See Mishra, supra note 13.

<sup>255.</sup> Id.

<sup>256.</sup> *Id.* (illustrating the carrot and stick approach through the example of a donkey, as a person persuades a donkey to move by either placing a carrot in front of the donkey or hitting the donkey with a stick).

<sup>257.</sup> Id.

<sup>258.</sup> See id.

<sup>259.</sup> See De Geest & Dari-Mattiacci, supra note 252.

<sup>260.</sup> See id. at 343.

<sup>261.</sup> See id. at 344.

<sup>262.</sup> See id.

<sup>263.</sup> See id. at 343.

<sup>264.</sup> See id. at 386.

<sup>265.</sup> See id.

<sup>266.</sup> See id.

<sup>267.</sup> See supra Section II.B.2.

### 2. Implementing the "Carrot" in Renewable Energy and Drug Decriminalization

The United States government offers tax incentives to encourage renewable energy. <sup>268</sup> Renewable energy tax benefits exemplify the carrot approach because these tax incentives influence people's behaviors through rewards. <sup>269</sup> As part of the Inflation Reduction Act ("IRA"), Americans receive monetary benefits for installing renewable energy utilities and increasing energy efficiency. <sup>270</sup> For example, Section 25C establishes the Energy Efficient Home Improvement Credit. <sup>271</sup> Under Section 25C, Americans may receive a tax credit up to 30% of the cost of making "qualified energy efficiency improvements." <sup>272</sup> Likewise, Section 25D establishes the Residential Clean Energy Credit. <sup>273</sup> This program allows Americans who install qualifying renewable energy equipment to receive a tax credit. <sup>274</sup> These tax incentives demonstrate just two carrots out of many that the federal government uses to encourage renewable energy development. <sup>275</sup>

Additionally, approaches to drug decriminalization in Portugal and Oregon reflect a shift away from the stick approach.<sup>276</sup> In 2001, Portugal passed the Decriminalization of Drug Use Act, or Law 30/2000.<sup>277</sup> This law "changed the *nature* of the sanctions" for drug possession and consumption.<sup>278</sup> Law 30/2000 eliminates punishments for drug "acquisition, possession, and consumption."<sup>279</sup> Similarly, Oregon passed the Drug Addiction Treatment and Recovery Act in 2020.<sup>280</sup> The Act expressly adopts a carrot approach and states "a health-based approach to addiction and overdose is more effective, humane and cost-effective than

<sup>268.</sup> See I.R.C. §§ 25C, 25D.

<sup>269.</sup> See Incentive, LEGAL INFO. INST., https://perma.cc/DL3R-CHAC (last visited Feb. 29, 2024).

<sup>270.</sup> See Home Energy Tax Credits, INTERNAL REVENUE SERV., https://perma.cc/6XW2-HKQK (last updated Jan. 29, 2025).

<sup>271.</sup> See I.R.C. § 25C.

<sup>272.</sup> Id. § 25C(a)(1).

<sup>273.</sup> See id. § 25D.

<sup>274.</sup> See id. § 25D(a)(1)–(6).

<sup>275.</sup> See also Credits and Deductions Under the Inflation Reduction Act of 2022, INTERNAL REVENUE SERV., https://perma.cc/9L45-KREC (last updated Feb. 13, 2025) (listing other incentives for renewable energy included in the IRA, such as the Clean Electricity Investment Credit and the Clean Electricity Production Credit).

<sup>276.</sup> See Hannah Laqueur, Uses and Abuses of Drug Decriminalization in Portugal, L. & Soc. Inquiry 746, 747 (2015), https://perma.cc/VC9V-LBUE; see also Drug Addiction and Recovery Act (Measure 110), OR. HEALTH AUTH. [hereinafter Measure 110], https://perma.cc/3JCL-367Z (last visited Mar. 5, 2025).

<sup>277.</sup> See Laqueur, supra note 276, at 747, 749.

<sup>278.</sup> Id. at 747.

<sup>279.</sup> Id.

<sup>280.</sup> See Measure 110, supra note 276.

criminal punishments."<sup>281</sup> Thus, Oregon law promotes drug treatment and recovery over drug punishments. <sup>282</sup>

#### III. ANALYSIS

Federal, state, and local governments must use a carrot approach to fix the puppy mill problem. <sup>283</sup> Governments must implement this approach because the AWA's current stick approach ineffectively regulates puppy mills. <sup>284</sup> Instead, American governments should use a carrot approach inspired by the methods used to increase renewable energy use and curb drug abuse. <sup>285</sup> The AWA presently uses punishments to ensure AWA compliance. <sup>286</sup> However, the AWA's stick approach fails to adequately improve puppy mill conditions. <sup>287</sup> Thus, this Comment provides a new approach for solving the puppy mill problem, specifically the carrot approach. <sup>288</sup>

## A. Renewable Energy and Drug Decriminalization as a Model for Fixing the Puppy Mill Problem

Governments must apply a carrot approach to the puppy mill problem because of the approach's results in renewable energy and drug decriminalization. Solar energy's growth in the United States demonstrates the IRA's successful use of the carrot approach. <sup>289</sup> The Solar Energy Industries Association ("SEIA") examined the IRA's impacts on solar energy development. <sup>290</sup> Solar companies have planned 155 gigawatts of "new production capacity" since the IRA's enactment. <sup>291</sup> SEIA projects the IRA will create 160 more gigawatts of solar energy "over the next 10 years." Generally, solar energy grew about 28% annually due to tax credits, reduced expenses, and high demand. <sup>293</sup> Thus, *carrots* successfully encouraged renewable energy.

<sup>281.</sup> OR. REV. STAT. § 430.383(1)(b) (2021).

<sup>282.</sup> See id. § 430.383(2)(a)–(b) (stating, however, that the law only removes punishments "for low-level drug possession.").

<sup>283.</sup> See infra Part III.

<sup>284.</sup> See supra Section II.C.

<sup>285.</sup> See infra Section III.A.

<sup>286.</sup> See supra Section II.B.2.

<sup>287.</sup> See supra Section II.C.

<sup>288.</sup> See infra Section III.B.

<sup>289.</sup> See Impact of the Inflation Reduction Act, Solar Energy Indus. Ass'n (Sept. 19, 2022), https://perma.cc/8E6Y-WZ7T.

<sup>290.</sup> See id.

<sup>291.</sup> Id.

<sup>292.</sup> Id.

<sup>293.</sup> See Solar Industry Research Data, SOLAR ENERGY INDUS. ASS'N, https://perma.cc/E8SQ-3STU (last visited Apr. 18, 2025).

In contrast, drug decriminalization has led to both mixed opinions and mixed results, but progress has occurred.<sup>294</sup> The Cato Institute's 2009 report praised Portugal's drug decriminalization, claiming that the method: (1) allows Portugal to address drug and drug-related issues better than other European countries; and (2) repurposes prior punishment resources into treatment services. <sup>295</sup> The report further praised Portugal for assuaging the opposition's fears that the country would become a hotspot for drug use. <sup>296</sup> However, the Obama administration criticized the Cato Institute's conclusions and considered the report's evidence inconclusive and inadequate. 297 The Obama administration further acknowledged that other data reached contrary results to the Cato Institute's conclusions.<sup>298</sup> Advocates supporting decriminalization efforts argued that the United States might lower its incarceration rate by adopting Portugal's drug decriminalization, although "violence and crime" from drug use may persist.<sup>299</sup> Thus, governments should apply the carrot approach to puppy mills because renewable energy and drug decriminalization successfully implemented this approach, at least to some degree.<sup>300</sup>

### B. Using Incentives as a "Carrot" to Improve and Combat Puppy Mills

A carrot approach incentivizes puppy mill owners to provide higher-quality care and living conditions for puppy mill dogs. The carrot approach also eliminates opposition to improving puppy mill conditions. Ocernments can implement a carrot approach that incentivizes puppy mill owners to reduce breeding outputs by promoting adoptions through partnerships between businesses and animal shelters. Finally, a carrot approach incentivizes the public to stop supporting puppy mills, further encouraging puppy mill owners to reduce puppy production. Thus, because governments used the carrot approach to address other problems, and USDA ineffectively enforces the AWA's

<sup>294.</sup> See Laqueur, supra note 276, at 776.

<sup>295.</sup> See GLENN GREENWALD, CATO INST., DRUG DECRIMINALIZATION IN PORTUGAL 1, 6, 27–28 (2009), https://perma.cc/G7C8-WC3Y.

<sup>296.</sup> See id.

<sup>297.</sup> See Drug Decriminalization in Portugal: Challenges and Limitations, OFF. OF NAT'L DRUG CONTROL POL'Y (2010), https://perma.cc/YNU5-WFNC.

<sup>298.</sup> See id.

<sup>299.</sup> Laqueur, supra note 276, at 776.

<sup>300.</sup> See supra Section III.A.

<sup>301.</sup> See infra Section III.B.1.

<sup>302.</sup> See infra Section III.B.2.

<sup>303.</sup> See infra Section III.B.3.

<sup>304.</sup> See infra Section III.B.4.

stick approach, governments should use a new carrot approach to combat puppy mills. <sup>305</sup>

#### 1. Incentivizing Puppy Mill Owners: Money and Education

Governments must mainly incentivize puppy mill owners because they are the direct operators, and thus controllers, of puppy mill conditions. Therefore, governments must design incentives that allow puppy mill owners to retain profits, or otherwise they will not provide higher-quality care to their dogs. Therefore, governments must design incentives that allow puppy mill owners to retain profits, or otherwise they will not provide higher-quality care to their dogs.

First, monetary incentives combat the puppy mill problem because these financial payments allow puppy mill owners to maintain their profits. To example, puppy mill owners often do not provide dogs with veterinary care. To encourage puppy mill owners to provide this care, governments could subsidize veterinary costs. This subsidy would allow puppy mill owners to improve their dogs' health without sacrificing profits. Alternatively, governments can directly provide puppy mill owners with veterinarians to ensure that these owners do not misuse vet care money. Thus, a subsidy-based or supply-based carrot approach incentivizes puppy mill owners to provide veterinary care to puppy mill dogs. Thus, a subsidy-based or supply-based carrot approach incentivizes puppy mill owners to provide veterinary care to puppy mill dogs.

Governments should also compensate puppy mill owners for foregone breeding profits during breeding breaks to incentivize better puppy mill conditions. Puppy mill owners constantly breed female dogs to maximize puppies sold for profit. Governments can provide payments to incentivize puppy mill owners to give female dogs rest from breeding. These payments compensate puppy mill owners for lost profits from the puppies that they could have sold if the puppy mill owner

```
305. See supra Sections II.C, II.E, III.A.
```

<sup>306.</sup> See More Puppies, supra note 4.

<sup>307.</sup> See id.

<sup>308.</sup> See id.

<sup>309.</sup> See id.

<sup>310.</sup> See id.

<sup>311.</sup> See supra Section II.A.1.

<sup>312.</sup> See supra Section II.A.1.

<sup>313.</sup> See generally U.S. DEP'T OF JUST., DOJ GRANTS FINANCIAL GUIDE 128–30 (2024) [hereinafter DOJ FINANCIAL GUIDE], https://perma.cc/54A3-WV7D (explaining that parties receiving federal funds must use those funds for the granted purposes, and that parties misuse federal funds by using the money for a purpose other than the specified purpose).

<sup>314.</sup> See supra Section II.E.2. (discussing tax incentives to influence people's energy choices).

<sup>315.</sup> See More Puppies, supra note 4.

<sup>316.</sup> See id.

<sup>317.</sup> See id.

bred the female dog.<sup>318</sup> Thus, monetary benefits incentivize puppy mill owners to improve puppy mill conditions. However, governments must ensure puppy mill owners do not take compensation payments and continue breeding female dogs.<sup>319</sup> The carrot approach fails if puppy mill owners continue traditional breeding practices.<sup>320</sup>

Governments can also incentivize puppy mill owners through education. Puppy mill dog mistreatment partially results from puppy mill owners seeing dogs as "livestock" and handling dogs like "inanimate objects." Puppy mill owners also see dogs as "cash crops" and "agricultural products." These views reduce dogs to objects rather than living companions. Thus, educational programming can encourage puppy mill owners to view puppy mill dogs in ways that promote better treatment. For example, educational efforts should discuss rationales for respecting animals, such as an animal's ability to suffer and think.

However, several challenges to incentivizing puppy mill owners exist. 325 The first challenge is determining which entity should serve as the implementer. This Comment recommends federal, state, and local governments implement this carrot approach. 326 Governments may also struggle to finance the carrot approach. Possible solutions to this problem include using taxes or rearranging government budgets. 727 For example, governments could tax pet supply purchases to fund the puppy mill incentive program, similar to how state governments use taxes on gas sales to pay for transportation-related expenses. Likewise, the federal government could create the puppy mill incentive program through its

- 318. See id.
- 319. See DOJ FINANCIAL GUIDE, supra note 313.
- 320. See supra Section II.A.1.
- 321. Natasha Catherine, *The Amish and Animal Cruelty: An Unexpected Mix*, ANIMAL ADVOC. OF S. CENT. PA. BLOG (Feb. 3, 2018), https://perma.cc/DV79-9U6J.
  - 322. Dog By Dog, *supra* note 74, at 12:22–12:25.
  - 323. See id.; see also Catherine, supra note 321.
- 324. See Michael Ray Harris, A Right of Ethical Consideration for Non-Human Animals, 27 HASTINGS ENV'T L.J. 71, 84–85 (2021).
- 325. See generally DOJ FINANCIAL GUIDE, supra note 313 (discussing inappropriate uses of granted federal funds).
- 326. See generally I.R.C. §§ 25C, 25D (providing an example of a tax incentive framework implemented by the Internal Revenue Service, a federal agency); see also OR. REV. STAT. § 430.383 (2021) (providing an example of a state government's drug decriminalization initiative).
- 327. See What Are State Gas Taxes and How Are They Used?, URB. INST. & BROOKINGS INST. (Jan. 28, 2025) [hereinafter State Gas Taxes], https://perma.cc/E3VH-H7EY; see also What is Mandatory and Discretionary Spending?, URB. INST. & BROOKINGS INST. [hereinafter Discretionary Spending], https://perma.cc/2DD7-HW4B (last updated Jan. 2024).
  - 328. See State Gas Taxes, supra note 327.

normal budgeting practice of rearranging its discretionary spending to reallocate and save money in the federal budget.<sup>329</sup>

Finally, governments may struggle to distribute incentives and ensure puppy mill owners properly use the incentives they receive. 330 Despite AWA licensing requirements, not all puppy mill owners are licensed or known to exist. 331 Thus, puppy mill owners may not receive incentives if governments have no information about them. However, governments can encourage unlicensed puppy mills to register so the owners can receive incentives. 332 Additionally, governments should develop methods to ensure that puppy mill owners use the financial incentives to actually improve conditions. 333 Governments can ensure proper use of the money by requiring puppy mill owners to log purchases. 334 Governments can also condition financial incentives on completing educational credits. 335

### 2. Beating Out the Opposition: Incentivizing Opponents of Puppy Mill Laws

Furthermore, governments must disincentivize opponents who obstruct efforts to improve puppy mill conditions.<sup>336</sup> These opponents include dog breeding and registration clubs, like the American Kennel Club (AKC).<sup>337</sup> AKC has opposed legislation proposing puppy mill improvements, including Goldie's Act and the Puppy Protection Act.<sup>338</sup>

For example, the federal Puppy Protection Act seeks to add humane treatment conditions to the AWA.<sup>339</sup> The Act sets requirements for floors, space, temperature, food, water, exercise, veterinary care, and socialization.<sup>340</sup> The Act also improves female dog breeding practices by setting: (1) the youngest age a person can breed a female dog; and (2) the amount of litters a dog, both over the dog's entire life and within a specific

- 329. See Discretionary Spending, supra note 327.
- 330. See DOJ FINANCIAL GUIDE, supra note 313.
- 331. See supra Sections II.A.2, II.B.2.
- 332. See generally Mishra, supra note 13 (explaining the use of carrots to influence people's behaviors).
  - 333. See DOJ FINANCIAL GUIDE, supra note 313.
  - 334. See id. at 131.
  - 335. See supra Section III.B.1.
  - 336. See infra Section III.B.2.
- 337. See Kitty Block & Sara Amundson, American Kennel Club Opposed 450+ Bills Designed to Help Dogs, Humane Soc'y of the U.S.: A Humane World Blog (June 30, 2023), https://perma.cc/78PE-4CFF.
- 338. See AKC Gov't Rel., US Congress: Animal Rights Groups are Lobbying for More Restrictions on Dog Breeders. Congress Needs to Hear Your Voice Too., AM. Kennel Club (Apr. 26, 2023) [hereinafter AKC Gov't Rel.], https://perma.cc/SAG6-Y79W.
  - 339. See Puppy Protection Act of 2023, H.R. 1624, 118th Cong. (2023).
  - 340. See id.

timeframe, can birth. <sup>341</sup> AKC criticizes the Puppy Protection Act, claiming the provisions are "arbitrary, one-size-fits-all mandates." <sup>342</sup> To prevent enactment, AKC has encouraged members to contact congressional representatives and express opposition to the bill. <sup>343</sup> Thus, dog breeding and registration groups, like AKC, impede improvements to puppy mill conditions.

To improve puppy mill conditions, governments must provide opponents like AKC with incentives. For example, governments should compensate AKC for the money the group will lose from decreased dog registrations. This compensation may reduce AKC's opposition to puppy mill laws because improving puppy mill conditions threatens the group's profits from dog registration fees. AKC breeders include puppy mill owners, some of whom are on the Horrible Hundred. If governments force puppy mills, including those facilities involving AKC breeders, to improve conditions, these operations will breed fewer dogs for AKC registration and profit. Thus, governments could compensate AKC for lost profits by paying for lost dog registrations. This compensation could, in turn, reduce AKC's opposition to laws improving puppy mill breeding conditions.

However, governments must use financial incentives cautiously in order to avoid bribery accusations.<sup>350</sup> Bribery occurs when a person offers "anything of value" to a "public official" to change the official's actions.<sup>351</sup> Governments may take bribery-like actions by giving opponents money in exchange for the opponents ending their opposition.<sup>352</sup> Thus, governments must carefully use this incentive.

Additionally, governments should incentivize partnerships between AKC and animal rights groups. Currently, AKC and animal rights groups oppose one another because they do not agree on whether puppy mill conditions should be improved or how to improve them.<sup>353</sup> However, animal rights groups may avoid AKC opposition by working with AKC to propose legislation. For example, if AKC and animal rights groups

```
341. See id.
```

<sup>342.</sup> Supra AKC GOV'T REL., supra note 338.

<sup>343.</sup> See id.

<sup>344.</sup> See Dog By Dog, supra note 74.

<sup>345.</sup> See id.

<sup>346.</sup> See Block & Amundson, supra note 337; see also supra Section II.A.2.

<sup>347.</sup> See Dog By Dog, supra note 74.

<sup>348.</sup> See id.

<sup>349.</sup> See id.

<sup>350.</sup> See 18 U.S.C. § 201(b)(1).

<sup>351.</sup> Id.

<sup>352.</sup> See id.

<sup>353.</sup> See AKC GOV'T REL., supra note 338; see also Block & Amundson, supra note 337.

developed the Puppy Protection Act together, AKC may not have criticized the provisions as too arbitrary and generic. AKC and animal rights groups must focus on their shared interest in animals and compromise, despite the groups' mutual attacks and criticisms. 355

### 3. Incentivizing Adoption: Partnering Animal Shelters and Businesses

Governments should also provide incentives to encourage adoption. Adoption incentives lead people to stop purchasing dogs from puppy mills. The Adoption therefore incentivizes puppy mills to reduce breeding operations because the public demands shelter pets, not puppy mill puppies. Incentives that increase adoptions from animal shelters or rescues would connect people with animals that need homes and divert people from purchasing puppy mill dogs through pet stores, puppy mills, or online advertisements. To encourage adoptions over puppy mill dog purchases, governments should incentivize partnerships between animal shelters and businesses.

Currently, some animal shelters and businesses partner to promote adoptions. To example, PetSmart and PetSmart Charities partner with animal shelters to facilitate adoptions. PetSmart stores display animals from local shelters in Everyday Adoption Centers, a practice that has led to over 11 million adoptions. PetSmart and PetSmart Charities also host National Adoption Weekends, which resulted in 32,985 adoptions in March 2020. PetSmart's partnerships with local shelters show that partnerships between shelters and businesses facilitate adoptions, as these large adoption numbers highlight. To increase adoptions, governments should incentivize future partnerships between businesses and shelters like the PetSmart program.

<sup>354.</sup> See AKC GOV'T REL., supra note 338.

<sup>355.</sup> See id.; see also Block & Amundson, supra note 337.

<sup>356.</sup> See Puppy Pipeline, supra note 1.

<sup>357.</sup> See supra Section II.A.3.

<sup>358.</sup> See Puppy Pipeline, supra note 1.

<sup>359.</sup> See Press Release, PetSmart Charities, A Record-Breaking 32, 985 Pets Find Homes During PetSmart Charities National Adoption Weekend (Mar. 6, 2020) [hereinafter Record-Breaking], https://perma.cc/BGJ4-APLD.

<sup>360.</sup> See Creating Families Through Our Adoption Programs, PETSMART CHARITIES [hereinafter Creating Families], https://perma.cc/Y663-JQP4 (last visited Mar. 5, 2025).

<sup>361.</sup> See id.; see also Adoptions at PetSmart Stores, PetSmart Charities, https://perma.cc/PS9H-CMZC (last visited Mar. 5, 2025).

<sup>362.</sup> See Record-Breaking, supra note 359.

<sup>363.</sup> See id.; see also Creating Families, supra note 360.

### 4. Incentivizing the Public: Educating and Encouraging Alternatives to Puppy Mill Dog Purchases

Finally, governments should incentivize the public to fix the puppy mill problem.<sup>364</sup> The public supports puppy mills by purchasing puppies from pet stores and puppy mill owners.<sup>365</sup> However, governments can use financial incentives and educational efforts to weaken the public's support of puppy mills, thus forcing puppy mill owners to reduce puppy production.

Governments can financially incentivize the public by providing tax deductions for animal shelter donations and adoptions. The Currently, governments allow tax deductions for charitable donations. If governments allow people to claim special tax deductions for animal shelter donations, support for animal shelters would increase and support for puppy mills would decrease. These entities can then use donations for animal care, outreach events, and operating expenses. Thus, governments should give tax deductions for animal shelter donations because these deductions divert the public's money away from puppy mills and their supporters, such as AKC.

Similarly, governments can allow tax breaks for adopting shelter animals.<sup>371</sup> Governments currently give tax credits for adopting children.<sup>372</sup> However, governments do not allow deductions for animal adoption costs.<sup>373</sup> Amending the tax code to allow tax breaks for pet adoptions incentivizes people to adopt, rather than purchase, pets.<sup>374</sup> Altering people's behavior to adopt helps resolve the mill problem because dog purchases from pet stores or puppy mill owners support puppy mills.<sup>375</sup>

Finally, governments can educate the public and encourage people to adopt. According to a 2014 Best Friends survey, 43% of Americans do not

<sup>364.</sup> See infra Section III.B.4.

<sup>365.</sup> See supra Section II.A.3.

<sup>366.</sup> See generally 26 U.S.C.  $\S$  170(a) (stating that the general rule allows tax deductions for "any charitable contribution").

<sup>367.</sup> See id.

<sup>368.</sup> See How to Help Animals in Shelters and Rescues, HUMANE SOC'Y OF THE U.S., https://perma.cc/YA7N-QYPK (last visited Feb. 29, 2024).

<sup>369.</sup> See id.

<sup>370.</sup> See supra Sections II.A.3, III.B.3.

<sup>371.</sup> See generally 26 U.S.C. § 23(a)(1), (d)(1)-(2) (explaining parents adopting children can claim "adoption expenses," including "reasonable and necessary adoption fees" as tax credits).

<sup>372.</sup> See id.

<sup>373.</sup> See The Tax Benefits of Donating to Animal Shelters and Caring for Rescue Pets, TAXACT BLOG, https://perma.cc/N6MR-AS2A (last visited Feb. 29, 2024).

<sup>374.</sup> See, e.g., I.R.C. §§ 25C, 25D (providing two examples of tax incentives governments used to incentivize behavior, specifically incentivizing renewable energy).

<sup>375.</sup> See supra Section II.A.3.

know that pet stores primarily source puppies from puppy mills.<sup>376</sup> Best Friends suggests that this lack of knowledge contributes to the public's decision to purchase rather than adopt pets.<sup>377</sup> Additionally, online purchases support puppy mills because people purchasing puppies online know nothing about a puppy's source.<sup>378</sup> Thus, public education about puppy mills, pet stores, and online sales helps solve the puppy mill problem by creating more informed consumers.<sup>379</sup> By providing the public with knowledge about the sources of pet purchases, governments encourage people to adopt, rather than buy, dogs.

#### IV. CONCLUSION

Puppy mills breed dogs in inhumane conditions to maximize profits. The AWA's current stick approach fails to stop this mistreatment due to ineffective USDA enforcement. Thus, governments should use a carrot approach to solve the puppy mill problem. First, governments should incentivize puppy mill owners with monetary benefits so that they can improve conditions without sacrificing profits. Next, governments should also incentivize opponents of puppy mill legislation. Finally, and most importantly, governments should encourage partnerships between shelters and businesses, which will incentivize the public to adopt. In sum, the carrot approach offers a clear path forward towards solving the puppy mill problem.

<sup>376.</sup> See New Survey Reveals, supra note 109.

<sup>377.</sup> See id.

<sup>378.</sup> See Dog By Dog, supra note 74.

<sup>379.</sup> See New Survey Reveals, supra note 109.

<sup>380.</sup> See supra Section II.A.

<sup>381.</sup> See supra Section II.C.

<sup>382.</sup> See supra Section III.B.

<sup>383.</sup> See supra Section III.B.1.

<sup>384.</sup> See supra Section III.B.2.

<sup>385.</sup> See supra Sections III.B.3-4.